

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084005 7

# **MATERIALS ON PROPERTY**

## **Part III**

D. Mendes da Costa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

**1978 / 79 EDITION**

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario



MATERIALS ON PROPERTY


PART III

<sup>back</sup>  
D. Mendes da Costa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

1978-79

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario

260  
114  
177  
p. 3



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

## PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only. They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class. They are to be used for no other purpose. The aim of these materials is to introduce the student to some general principles relating to the Law of Real and Personal Property. Volume 1 deals with some aspects of the Law of Personal Property, Volumes 2 to 5 are concerned with some aspects of the Law of Real Property and also with Leaseholds.

This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive. Further, it is compiled on the assumption that other topics, including, for example, land use planning, land finance, land development, real estate transactions, community planning, environmental control and expropriation are dealt with later in the curriculum. These topics are not included within the scope of this Casebook.

# C O N T E N T S - P A R T    I I I

## REAL PROPERTY

### CHAPTER X

#### FUTURE INTERESTS

Problems	10.1.1
----------	--------

#### Section 1    INTRODUCTION

Note	10.1.3
Re Weinberger and Weinberger	10.1.11
Doe d. Savoy v. McEachern	10.1.13
Re Estate of Dunlap	10.1.15
Re    Duffield	10.1.16

#### Section 2    COMMON LAW METHODS OF CREATING                   AND TRANSFERRING ESTATES

Gulliver, Future Interests	10.2.1
De Bouris and Button	10.2.4
Re Leblanc	10.2.5
The Infants Act	10.2.8

#### Section 3    LEGAL REMAINDER RULES

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.1
Savill Brothers Ltd. v. Bethel	10.3.1
S. 6 of the Law of Real Property Act	10.3.2
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.3.2
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.2
Re Chauvin	10.3.3
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.3
Re Tilbury West Public School Board and Hastie	10.3.4
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.4
Reeve v. Long	10.3.6
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.3.6
Festing v. Allen	10.3.6

#### Section 4    ARTIFICIAL DESTRUCTION OF CONTINGENT REMAINDERS

Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.4.1
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.4.2
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.4.3
Egerton v. Massey	10.4.3
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.4.4

#### Section 5    EQUITABLE INTERESTS ARISING PRIOR                   TO THE STATUTE OF USES, 1535

Note	10.5.1
Note	10.5.3
Problems	10.5.4

#### Section 6    THE STATUTE OF USES AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

The Statute of Uses	10.6.1
Simpson, An Introduction to the History of Land Law	10.6.3
Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.6.5
Bordwell, The Repeal of the Statute of Uses	10.6.7
The Law and Transfer of Property Act	10.6.7
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.6.8
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.6.9
An Act to Simplify the Transfer of Real Property in Upper Canada	10.6.10

Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.6.11
Thuresson v. Thuresson	10.6.11
Fair v. McCrow	10.6.12
Savill Brothers, Limited v. Bethell	10.6.14
 <u>Section 7 THE RULE IN PUREFOY v. ROGERS</u>	
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.7.1
Purefoy v. Rogers	10.7.2
Gulliver, Future Interests	10.7.3
Problems	10.7.4
The Contingent Remainders Act	10.7.4
Note	10.7.4
 <u>Section 8 PRESENT POSITION AS TO TESTATE AND INTESTATE SUCCESSION</u>	
Devolution of Estates Act	10.8.1
In Re Robson, Douglass v. Douglass	10.8.1
 <u>Section 9 PRESENT POSITION AS TO THE SEPARATION OF LEGAL AND EQUITABLE INTERESTS</u>	
Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.9.1
Problems	10.9.2
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.9.3
Re Bayliss and Balfe	10.9.5
Re Smith and Dale	10.9.7
 <u>Section 10 AN INTRODUCTION TO THE RULE AGAINST PERPETUITIES</u>	
Canadian Export Gas & Oil Ltd. v. Flegal	10.10.1
Problems	10.10.1
(a) <u>General Rule</u>	
Gulliver, Future Interests	10.10.2
Leach, Perpetuities in a Nutshell	10.10.3
Note	10.10.5
(b) <u>Legislation</u>	
The Perpetuities Act	10.10.7
Note	10.10.9
The Succession Law Reform Act	10.10.10
The Children's Law Reform Act	10.10.10
(c) <u>Some Examples</u>	
Bowaters Newfoundland Ltd. v. Mines and Forests Ltd.	10.10.11
Re Fownes Estate	10.10.12
Hardebol v. Perpetual Trustee Co. Ltd.	10.10.14
Laurin v. Iron Ore Co. of Canada	10.10.17
Stephens v. Gulf Oil Canada Ltd.	10.10.18
In Re Atkins' Will Trusts	10.10.22
Regina v. Cory-Park	10.10.24
(d) <u>The Perpetuity Rule and Its Application to Legal Contingent Remainders</u>	
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.10.25
 <u>Section 11 THE RULE IN SHELLEY'S CASE</u>	
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.11.1
Tunis and Bamberger v. Passmore	10.11.2
Re Romanes and Smith	10.11.4
In Re Estate of Charles Tuck	10.11.6
 <u>Section 12 PROBLEMS FOR REVIEW</u>	
Problems	10.12.1



CHAPTER XI  
CO-OWNERSHIP OF LAND

Problem	11.1.1
 <u>Section 1 FORMS OF CO-OWNERSHIP</u>	
Wright v. Gibbons	11.1.2
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.1.9
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.1.11
Re Demaiter and Link	11.1.12
Registrar-General (N.S.W.) v. Wood	11.1.13
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.1.15
Mitchell v. Arblaster	11.1.15
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	11.1.16
The Law of Property Act, 1925	11.1.17
The Family Law Reform Act	11.1.17
Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.1.18
Bull v. Bull	11.1.19
Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.1.19
 <u>Section 2 CREATION OF CO-OWNERSHIP</u>	
Re Steeves and Haslam House	11.2.1
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.2.2
Hegeman v. Rogers	11.2.3
Re Dupont	11.2.5
McEwen v. Ewers and Ferguson	11.2.8
Re Bowmans' Estates	11.2.9
Note	11.2.14
Problem	11.2.15
 <u>Section 3 USE OF LAND BY CO-TENANTS</u>	
<u>(a) Position Generally</u>	
Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.3.1
Henderson v. Eason	11.3.6
 <u>(b) Position As To Accounting</u>	
The Judicature Act	11.3.8
Reid v. Reid	11.3.8
Isaryk v. Isaryk	11.3.11
Curtis v. Coleman	11.3.13
 <u>(c) Position as to Occupation Rent</u>	
Luke et al. v. Luke et al.	11.3.14
 <u>(d) Position as to Outgoings</u>	
Osachuk v. Osachuk	11.3.19
Grinde v. Grinde	11.3.24
Zelezniak v. Senkiw	11.3.25
Thrift v. Thrift	11.3.27
Baker v. Baker	11.3.28
Shore v. Shore	11.3.34
The Family Law Reform Act	11.3.34
Walsh v. Walsh	11.3.34
Vol. 2 American Law of Property	11.3.35
 <u>(e) Position as to the Law of Waste</u>	
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.3.36
Martyn v. Knowllys	11.3.36
Hersey v. Murphy	11.3.37



(f) Position where Improvements are Voluntarily Made

Lasby v. Crewson	11.3.38
Mastron v. Cotton	11.3.39
Brickwood v. Young	11.3.41
Ruptash and Lumsden v. Zawick and Zawick	11.3.45
Canada Life Assurance Co. v. Kennedy et al.	11.3.49

(g) Disposition by One Co-Owner

Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.3.51
Crampsey v. Deveney	11.3.55
Gerace v. Thompson	11.3.59

Section 4 SEVERANCE OF A JOINT TENANCY

Schobelt v. Barber	11.4.1
Re Gore	11.4.5
Re Dreger	11.4.5
Rasmanis v. Jurewitsch	11.4.6
Power v. Grace	11.4.8
Sirois v. Breton	11.4.11
The Execution Act	11.4.12
Ontario Rules of Practice, 1978	11.4.13
Sunglo Lumber Ltd. v. McKenna	11.4.14
The Interpretation Act	11.4.15
Re Murdoch and Barry	11.4.16
Burgess v. Rawnsley	11.4.21
Re Walters and Walters	11.4.27
Lyons v. Lyons	11.4.30
Re McKee and National Co. Ltd. et al.	11.4.33
Rodrigue v. Dufton	11.4.37
Ginn v. Armstrong	11.4.40
Schofield v. Graham	11.4.40
Szabo v. Boros	11.4.41
Re Gillespie	11.4.42
Frieze v. Unger	11.4.44
Sorensen v. Sorensen	11.4.47
Lyons v. Lyons	11.4.56
The Land Titles Act	11.4.62

Section 5 CONVEYANCING AND CO-OWNERSHIP

The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.5.1
The Mercantile Law Amendment Act	11.5.1
Re Sherrett and Gray	11.5.2
Rye v. Rye	11.5.4
The Interpretation Act	11.5.7
Re Mancini et al and Saltzman	11.5.8

Section 6 PARTITION AND SALE

The Partition Act	11.6.1
Re Hutcheson and Hutcheson	11.6.2
Brown v. Brown	11.6.6
Davis v. Davis	11.6.8
Re Roblin and Roblin	11.6.11
Re Perkins and Perkins	11.6.13
Re Yale and MacMaster	11.6.15
Re MacDonald v. MacDonald	11.6.26
Note	11.6.29
Cook v. Johnston	11.6.30
Schnytzer v. Wielunski	11.6.32
Re Cates and Cates	11.6.35

Section 7 PROBLEMS FOR REVIEW

Problems 11.7.1

CHAPTER XII  
THE MATRIMONIAL HOME

Problem 12.1.1

Section 1 THE RIGHT TO POSSESSION

(a) Introduction 12.1.2

Re Maskewycz and Maskewycz 12.1.3

(b) The Family Law Reform Act, 1978, Part III

Mendes da Costa, The Family Law Reform Act, 1978,  
An Overview 12.1.19

The Family Law Reform Act, 1978 12.1.37

Section 2 THE POSITION AS TO OWNERSHIP

(a) Introduction 12.2.1

Rathwell v. Rathwell 12.2.2

(b) The Family Law Reform Act, 1978, Part I

Mendes da Costa, The Family Law Reform Act, 1978,  
An Overview 12.2.17

Silverstein v. Silverstein 12.2.34

The Family Law Reform Act, 1978 12.2.45

Section 3 THE POSITION AS TO PRESUMPTIONS

Maysels v. Maysels 12.3.1

Marks v. Marks 12.3.8

The Family Law Reform Act, 1978 12.3.9